arXiv:1701.04165v1 [cs.IT] 16 Jan 2017

Some Bounds on Binary LCD Codes

Lucky Galvez * Jon-Lark Kim† Nari Lee‡ Young Gun Roe§
Byung-Sun Won¶

Abstract

A linear code with a complementary dual (or LCD code) is defined to be a linear code C whose dual code $C^{\perp}$ satisfies $C \cap C^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Let $LCD[n,k]$ denote the maximum of possible values of d among $[n,k,d]$ binary LCD codes. We give exact values of $LCD[n,k]$ for $1 \leq k \leq n \leq 12$. We also show that $LCD[n,n-i] = 2$ for any $i \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2^i$. Furthermore, we show that $LCD[n,k] \leq LCD[n,k-1]$ for k odd and $LCD[n,k] \leq LCD[n,k-2]$ for k even.

Keywords : binary LCD codes boundslinear code

1 Introduction

A linear code with complementary dual (or LCD code) was first introduced by Massey [7] as a reversible code in 1964. Afterwards, LCD codes were extensively studied in literature and widely applied in data storage, communications systems, consumer electronics, and cryptography.

In [8] Massey showed that there exist asymptotically good LCD codes. Esmaeili and Yari [6] identified a few classes of LCD quasi-cyclic codes. For bounds of LCD codes, Tzeng and Hartmann [12] proved that the minimum distance of a class of reversible codes is greater than that given by the BCH bound. Sendrier [11] showed that LCD codes meet the asymptotic Gilbert-Varshamov bound using the hull dimension spectra of linear codes. Recently, Dougherty et al. [5] gave a linear programming bound on the largest size of an LCD$[n,d]$. Constructions of LCD codes were studied by Mutto and Lal [10]. Yang and Massey [15] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a cyclic code to have a complementary dual. It is also shown by Kandasamy et al. [13] that maximum rank distance codes generated by the trace-orthogonal-generator matrices are LCD codes. In 2014, Calet and Guilley [3] introduced several constructions of LCD codes and investigated an application of LCD codes against side-channel attacks(SCA). Shortly after, Mesnager et al. [9] provided a construction of algebraic geometry LCD codes which could be good candidates to be resistant against SCA. Recently Ding et al. [4] constructed several families of reversible cyclic codes over finite fields.

The purpose of this paper is to study exact values of $LCD[n,k]$ (see [5]) which is the maximum of possible values of d among $[n,k,d]$ binary LCD codes. We give exact values of $LCD[n,2]$ in Section

*Department of Mathematics, Sogang University, Seoul 04107, South Korea. Email: legalvez97@gmail.com
†Department of Mathematics, Sogang University, Seoul 04107, South Korea. Email: jlkim@sogang.ac.kr
‡Department of Mathematics, Sogang University, Seoul 04107, South Korea. Email: narilee3@gmail.com
§Department of Mathematics, Sogang University, Seoul 04107, South Korea. Email: ygroe@naver.com
¶Department of Mathematics, Sogang University, Seoul 04107, South Korea. Email: byungsun08@gmail.com

2. In Section 3, we investigate $LCD[n,k]$ and show that $LCD[n,n-i]=2$ for any $i\geq 2$ and $n\geq 2^i$. We prove that $LCD[n,k]\leq LCD[n,k-1]$ for k odd and that $LCD[n,k]\leq LCD[n,k-2]$ for k even using the notion of principal submatrices. In Section 4, we give exact values for $LCK[n,d]$. We have included tables for $LCD\,[n,k]$ for $1\leq k\leq n\leq 12$ and $LCK\,[n,d]$ for $1\leq d\leq n\leq 12$.

2 $LCD[n,2]$

Let $GF(q)$ be the finite field with q elements. *An* $[n,k]$ *code* C over $GF(q)$ is a k-dimensional subspace of $GF(q)^n$. If C is a linear code, we let

$$C^{\perp}=\{\mathbf{u}\in V\mid \mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{w}=0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{w}\in C\}\,.$$

We call $C^{\perp}$ the *dual* or *orthogonal* code of C.

Definition 2.1. *A* linear code with complementary dual *(LCD code) is a linear code* C *satisfying* $C\cap C^{\perp}=\{\mathbf{0}\}$.

We note that if C is an LCD code, then so is $C^{\perp}$ because $(C^{\perp})^{\perp}=$ C. The following proposition will be frequently used in the later sections.

Proposition 2.2. ([8])
Let G *be a generator matrix for a code over* $GF(q)$. *Then* $\det(GG^T)\neq 0$ *if and only if* G *generates an* LCD *code.*

Throughout the rest of the paper, we consider only binary codes. Dougherty et al. [5] introduced $LCD[n,k]$ which denotes the maximum of possible values of d among $[n,k,d]$ binary LCD codes. Formally we can define it as follows.

Definition 2.3. $LCD\,[n,k]:=\max\{d\mid$ *there exists a binary* $[n,k,d]$ *LCD code*$\}$.

Dougherty et al. [5] gave a few bounds on $LCD\,[n,k]$ and exact values of $LCD\,[n,k]$ for $k=1$ only.

Now we obtain exact values of $LCD\,[n,k]$ for $k=2$ for any n.

Lemma 2.4. $LCD[n,2]\leq \left\lfloor\frac{2n}{3}\right\rfloor$ *for* $n\geq 2$.

Proof. By the Griesmer Bound [14], any binary linear $[n,k,d]$ code satisfies

$$n\geq\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\left\lceil\frac{d}{2^i}\right\rceil.$$

Letting $k=2$, we have $n\geq d+\frac{d}{2}$. Hence

$$d\leq\left\lfloor\frac{2n}{3}\right\rfloor.$$

Therefore any LCD $[n,k,d]$ code must satisfy this inequality. □

Proposition 2.5. *Let* $n\geq 2$. *Then* $LCD[n,2]=\left\lfloor\frac{2n}{3}\right\rfloor$ *for* $n\equiv 1,\pm 2,$ *or* $3 \pmod 6$.

Proof. We only need to show the existence of LCD codes with minimum distance achieving the bound $d = \lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \rfloor$.

(i) Let $n \equiv 1 \pmod 6$, i.e. $n = 6m + 1$ for some positive integer m. Consider the code with generator matrix

$$G = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 \dots 1 & 1 \dots 1 & 0 \dots 0 \\ \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{2m+1} & \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{2m-1} & \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{2m+1} \end{array} \right].$$

This code has minimum weight $4m = \left\lfloor \frac{2(6m+1)}{3} \right\rfloor$ and $GG^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, i.e., $\det(GG^T) = 1 \neq 0$. Therefore this code is an LCD code.

(ii) Let $n \equiv \pm 2 \pmod 6$, i.e., $n = 6m + 2$ for some non negative integer m, or $n = 6m - 2$ for some positive integer m . Consider the code with generator matrix

$$G = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 \dots 1 & 1 \dots 1 & 0 \dots 0 \\ \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{2m+k} & \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{2m} & \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{2m+k} \end{array} \right]$$

for $k = 1, -1$.

If $k = 1$, this code has minimum weight $4m + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{2(6m+2)}{3} \right\rfloor$ and $GG^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, i.e., $\det(GG^T) = 1 \neq 0$. Therefore this code is an LCD code.

If $k = -1$, this code has minimum weight $4m - 2 = \left\lfloor \frac{2(6m-2)}{3} \right\rfloor$ and $GG^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, i.e., $\det(GG^T) = 1 \neq 0$. Therefore this code is an LCD code.

(iii) Let $n \equiv 3 \pmod 6$, i.e., $n = 3i$ for some positive odd integer i. Consider the code with generator matrix

$$G = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 \dots 1 & 1 \dots 1 & 0 \dots 0 \\ \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{i} & \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{i} & \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{i} \end{array} \right].$$

This code has minimum weight $2i = \left\lfloor \frac{2(3i)}{3} \right\rfloor$ and $GG^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, i.e., $\det(GG^T) = 1 \neq 0$. Therefore this code is an LCD code.

□

Proposition 2.6. *Let $n \geq 2$. Then $LCD[n, 2] = \lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \rfloor - 1$ for $n \equiv 0, -1 \pmod 6$.*

Proof. (i) Let $n \equiv 0 \pmod 6$. Consider the generator matrix G in (iii) of the proof of Proposition 2.5, this time taking i to be an even integer. If the weight of any row of G is increased by one, the weight of the sum of the two rows is decreased by one. Hence, G is the only generator matrix for a binary code that achieves the upper bound, up to equivalence. Clearly, $\det(GG^T) = 0$ and so the code is not LCD. It follows that there are no LCD code with minimum distance $\lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \rfloor$ for $n \equiv 0 \pmod 6$.

Next, consider the code with generator matrix

$$G = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 \dots 1 & 1 \dots 1 & 0 \dots 0 \\ \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{i+1} & \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{i-1} & \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{i} \end{array} \right]$$

This code has minimum weight $2i-1 = \left\lfloor \frac{2(3i)}{3} \right\rfloor - 1$. We note that $GG^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, i.e., $\det(GG^T) = 1 \neq 0$. Therefore this code is an LCD code.

(ii) Let C be a binary code of length $n \equiv -1 \pmod 6$, i.e., $n = 3i - 1$ for some positive even i. Without loss of generality, the generator matrix for C can be expressed in the following form such that the first row is the codeword whose weight is the minimum weight d.

$$G = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 \ldots 1 & 1 \ldots 1 & 0 \ldots 0 \\ \underbrace{0 \ldots 0}_{i_1} & \underbrace{1 \ldots 1}_{i_2} & \underbrace{1 \ldots 1}_{i_3} \end{array} \right]$$

Suppose $d = \left\lfloor \frac{2(3i-1)}{3} \right\rfloor = 2i - 1$, i.e., $i_1 + i_2 = 2i - 1$. This implies that $i_3 = i$. Note that $i_2 + i_3 \geq 2i-1$ which implies $i_2 \geq i-1$ and $i_1 + i_3 \geq 2i-1$ which implies $i_2 \geq i-1$. This leaves only two possible cases: $(i_1, i_2, i_3) = (i-1, i, i), (i, i-1, i)$, each of which gives $GG^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, respectively. In both cases, $\det(GG^T) = 0$ and therefore they are not LCD. So there is no LCD code with minimum distance $\left\lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \right\rfloor$ for $n \equiv -1 \pmod 6$.

Consider the case where $(i_1, i_2, i_3) = (i-1, i-1, i+1)$. Then G generates a code of minimum distance $2i - 2 = \left\lfloor \frac{2(3i-1)}{3} \right\rfloor - 1$. For this case, $\det(GG^T) = 1$ and hence the code is LCD. □

3 $LCD\,[n, k]$

We begin with a rather straightforward lemma in order to prove Proposition 4.

Lemma 3.1. *If $n \geq 8$, any $[n, n-3, d]$ binary code C satisfies $d \leq 2$.*

Proof. Let G be a standard generator matrix of C, i.e., $G = [I_{n-3} \mid A]$ where A is an $(n-3) \times 3$ matrix. By the Singleton bound, $d \leq 4$. But it is well known there is no non-trivial binary code achieving the bound. Thus, we may say that $d \leq 3$. Suppose $d = 3$. Then each row of A must have weight at least 2. However there are only $\binom{3}{2} + \binom{3}{3} = 4$ possible choices for the rows of A. Note that A has at least 5 rows and this contradict our assumption that $d = 3$. Hence $d \leq 2$. □

Motivated by the above lemma, we can consider more general dimension $n - i$ rather than $n - 3$ as follows.

Proposition 3.2. *Given $i \geq 2$, $LCD[n, n-i] = 2$ for all $n \geq 2^i$.*

Proof. Let G be a standard generator matrix of an $[n, n-i, d]$ binary code C, i.e., $G = [I_{n-i} \mid A]$ where A is an $(n-i) \times i$ matrix. By the Singleton bound, $d \leq i+1$. But there is no non-trivial binary code achieving the bound. Thus, we may say that $d \leq i$. If the minimum distance of C is at least 3, each row of A must have weight at least 2. And there are $\binom{i}{2} + \binom{i}{3} + \cdots + \binom{i}{i} = 2^i - i - 1$ possible choices for the rows of A. Thus, if $n - i$ (number of rows in A) $> 2^i - i - 1$, then there exists a row of weight 1 in A. This forces the minimum distance of C to be 2, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $d \leq 2$ for all $n \geq 2^i$. Since this statement holds true for any linear code, it holds true for LCD codes as well, i.e., $LCD\,[n, n-i] \leq 2$ for all $n \geq 2^i$.

Next, we show that there exists an $[n, n-i, 2]$ LCD code for $n \geq 2^i$. For i even, let $G = [I_{n-i} \mid \underbrace{\mathbf{11}\cdots\mathbf{1}}_{i}]$, and for i odd, let $G = [I_{n-i} \mid \underbrace{\mathbf{11}\cdots\mathbf{10}}_{i}]$ where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the all one vector and $\mathbf{0}$ the all zero vector, both of which are of size $(n-i) \times 1$. In both cases, $GG^T = I_{n-i}$. Thus, G is a generator matrix for the $[n, n-i]$ LCD code with minimum distance 2.

Hence $LCD[n, n-i] = 2$ for all $n \geq 2^i$.

□

So far we have shown the exact value of $LCD[n, 2]$. The relation between $LCD[n, k]$ and $LCD[n, k-1]$(or $LCD[n, k-2]$) was unknown before. Using the idea of principal submatrices, we have Proposition 3.5 below.

Definition 3.3. *Let A be a $k \times k$ matrix over a field. An $m \times m$ submatrix P of A is called a* principal submatrix *of A if P is obtained from A by removing all rows and columns of A indexed by the same set $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{n-m}\} \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$.*

Definition 3.4. *([1]) Let A be a $k \times k$ symmetric matrix over a field. The* principal rank characteristic sequence *of A (simply, pr-sequence of A or $pr(A)$) is defined as $pr(A) = r_0]r_1r_2\ldots r_k$ where for $1 \leq m \leq k$*

$$r_m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \text{ has an } m \times m \text{ principal submatrix of rank } m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For convenience, define $r_0 = 1$ if and only if A has a 0 in the diagonal.

Proposition 3.5. ([1]) *For $k \geq 2$ over a field with characteristic 2, a principal rank characteristic sequence of a $k \times k$ symmetric matrix A is attainable if and only if it has one of the following forms:*

(*i*) $0]1\,\overline{1}\,\overline{0}$ (*ii*) $1]\overline{01}\,\overline{0}$ (*iii*) $1]1\,\overline{1}\,\overline{0}$

where $\overline{1} = 11\ldots1$ (or empty), $\overline{0} = 00\ldots0$ (or empty), $\overline{01} = 0101\ldots01$ (or empty).

Proposition 3.6. *We have the following:*

(*i*) *If $k \geq 3$ and k is odd,*

$$LCD[n, k] \leq LCD[n, k-1]$$

for any $n \geq k$.

(*ii*) *If $k \geq 4$ and k is even,*

$$LCD[n, k] \leq LCD[n, k-2]$$

for any $n \geq k$.

Proof. (*i*) It suffices to show that any binary $[n, k]$ LCD code C has an $[n, k-1]$ LCD subcode for any odd $k \geq 3$. Let G be a $k \times n$ generator matrix of C. Let $A = GG^T$ which is symmetric. Then $rank(A) = k$. Since k is odd, case (*ii*) of Propostion 3.5 is not possible. Thus the only possible cases are (*i*) and (*iii*) of Proposition 3.5, which are $0]11\ldots1$ and $1]11\ldots1$, respectively. Hence,

n/k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	1											
2	1	1										
3	3	2	1									
4	3	2	1	1								
5	5	2	2	2	1							
6	5	3	2	2	1	1						
7	7	4	3	2	2	2	1					
8	7	5	3	3	2	2	1	1				
9	9	6	4	4	3	2	2	2	1			
10	9	6	5	4	3	3	2	2	1	1		
11	11	6	5	4	4	4	3	2	2	2	1	
12	11	7	6	5	4	4	3	2	2	2	1	1

Table 1: $LCD[n,k]\ for\ 1 \leq k \leq n \leq 12$

there exists a principal submatrix P_1 of rank $k-1$ which is obtained from A by deleting some i^{th} row and column of A $(1 \leq i \leq k)$.

Define G_1 to be a $(k-1) \times n$ matrix obtained from G by deleting the i^{th} row of G. Since $G_1 G_1^T = P_1$ and $rank(P_1) = k-1 \neq 0$, P_1 is invertible. Then the linear code C_1 with generator matrix G_1 is LCD as well.

(ii) It suffices to show that any binary $[n,k]$ LCD code C has an $[n,k-2]$ LCD subcode for any even $k \geq 4$. Let G be a $k \times n$ generator matrix of C and $A = GG^T$. Then $rank(A) = k$ since C is LCD. By Propostion 3.5, we have the following three cases.

(i) $0]11\ldots1$ (ii) $1]0101\ldots01$ (iii) $1]11\ldots1$

So there exists a principal submatrix P_2 of rank $k-2$ which is obtained from A by deleting some i^{th}, j^{th} rows and columns of A $(1 \leq i \neq j \leq k)$.

Define G_2 to be a $(k-2) \times n$ matrix obtained from G by deleting the i^{th} and j^{th} rows of G. Since $G_2 G_2^T = P_2$ and $rank(P_2) = k-2 \neq 0$, P_2 is invertible. Then the linear $[n,k-2]$ code C_2 with generator matrix G_2 is LCD as well.

Since the minimum distance of a code is always less than or equal to the minimum distance of a subcode, this completes the proof of (a) and (b).

□

In Table 1 we give exact values of $LCD[n,k]$ for $1 \leq k \leq n \leq 12$. Based on Proposition 3.6 and Table 1, we conjecture the following.

Conjecture If $2 \leq k \leq n$, then $LCD[n,k] \leq LCD[n,k-1]$.
(Note: It suffices to show that this is true when k is even.)

4 $LCK\,[n,d]$

We define another combinatorial function $LCK\,[n,d]$.

Definition 4.1. $LCK[n,d] := \max\{k \mid$ *there exists a binary* $[n,k,d]$ *LCD code*$\}$

For convenience, define $LCK[n,d] = 0$ if and only if there is no LCD code with the given n and d.

n/d	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	1											
2	2	0										
3	3	2	1									
4	4	2	1^*	0								
5	5	4	1	0	1							
6	6	4	2	2	1^*	0						
7	7	6	3	2	1^*	0	1					
8	8	6	4^*	2	2	0	1^*	0				
9	9	8	5	4	2^*	2	1	0	1			
10	10	8	6	4	3	2	1	0	1^*	0		
11	11	10	7	6	3	2	1	0	1	0	1	
12	12	10	7	6	4	3	2^*	0	1	0	1^*	0

Table 2: $LCK[n,d]$ *for* $1 \le d \le n \le 12$

It is noticeable in Table 2 that more zeros appear as n gets larger. Dougherty et al. [5] showed $LCK[n,d] = 0$ for n even and when $d = n$. Now we show that this is a special case of the following general proposition.

Proposition 4.2. *(i) Suppose that n is even, $k \ge 1$, and $i \ge 0$. If $n \ge 6i$, then there is no $[n,k,n-2i]$ LCD code, i.e., $LCK[n,n-2i] = 0$.*

(ii) Suppose that n is odd, $k \ge 1$, and $i \ge 0$. If $n > 6i+3$, then there is no $[n,k,n-2i-1]$ LCD code, i.e., $LCK[n,n-2i-1] = 0$.

Proof. (i) Suppose C is an LCD $[n,k,n-2i]$ code with parameters in the hypothesis. Let G be a generator matrix of C.

If $k = 1$, then $GG^T = 0$ since the minimum distance $n-2i$ is even. Then by Proposition 2.2, there is no $[n,1,n-2i]$ LCD code with n even.

Now suppose $k \ge 2$. Then there should exist an LCD $[n,2,n-2i]$ subcode of C. By the Griesmer Bound with $k = 2$, we obtain $n \ge n-2i+\frac{n-2i}{2}$ which implies $n \le 6i$. Thus we can say that there is no $[n,2,n-2i]$ code if $n > 6i$. When n meets the Griesmer Bound, i.e., $n = 6i$, there is no $[6i,2,4i]$ LCD code because by Proposition 2.6 the maximum of the possible minimum distance among any $[6i,2]$ LCD codes is $4i-1$.

(ii) A similar argument to (i) shows that there is no $[n,1,n-2i-1]$ LCD code with n odd because the minimum distance $n-2i-1$ is even.

Suppose $k \ge 2$. Then there should exist an LCD $[n,2,n-2i-1]$ subcode of C. By the Griesmer Bound with $k = 2$, we have $n \ge n-2i-1+\frac{n-2i-1}{2}$ which implies $n \le 6i+3$. Thus we can say that there is no $[n,2,n-2i-1]$ code if $n > 6i+3$. That is, there is no such an LCD code. □

In Table 2, the values of $LCK\,[n,d]$ are given for $1 \leq d \leq n \leq 12$. These values are obtained using Table 1, Proposition 4.2, and two tables from [5]. The values with * are the ones that are corrected here as they are incorrectly reported in Table 1 of [5].

5 Appendix

Below is an exhaustive search program written by MAGMA [2] in order to compute $LCD[n,k]$ which run slowly for large n and k.

```
LCD:=function(n,k)
I:=IdentityMatrix(GF(2),k);

Max:=0;
for g in RMatrixSpace(GF(2),k,n-k) do
if Determinant(I+(g*Transpose(g))) eq 1
then if Max lt MinimumDistance
(LinearCode(HorizontalJoin(I,g)))
then Max:=MinimumDistance
(LinearCode(HorizontalJoin(I,g)));
end if;
end if;
end for;
return Max;
end function;
```

References

[1] Barret, W., Butler, S., Catral, M., Fallat, S.M., Hall, H.T., Hogben, L., Driessche, P.van den, Young, M.: The principal rank characteristic sequence over various fields. Linear Algebra and its Applications. 459, 222-236 (2014)

[2] Bosma, W., Cannon, J.: Handbook of Magma functions, Sydney (1995)

[3] Carlet, C., Guilley, S.: Complementary dual codes for counter-measures to side-channel attacks. In Coding Theory and Applications. Springer International Publishing, 97-105 (2015)

[4] Ding, C., Li, C., Li, S.: LCD cyclic codes over finite fields. arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.02170 (2016)

[5] Dougherty, S.T, Kim, J.-L., Ozkaya, B., Sok, L., Sole, P.: The combinatorics of LCD codes : Linear Programming bound and orthogonal matrices. Submitted to Linear Algebra and Applications on June, 1 (2015)

[6] Esmaeili, M., S. Yari.: On complementary-dual quasi-cyclic codes. Finite Fields and Their Applications 15, no. 3, 375-386 (2009)

[7] Massey, J. L.: Reversible codes. Information and Control, 7(3), 369-380 (1964)

[8] Massey, J. L.: Linear Codes with Complementary Duals. Discrete Mathematics. 106-107, 337-342 (1992)

[9] Mesnager, S., Tang, C., Qi, Y.: Complementary Dual Algebraic Geometry Codes. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.05649 (2016)

[10] Muttoo, S.K., Lal, S.: A reversible code over $GF(q)$. Kybernetika, 22(1), 85-91 (1986)

[11] Sendrier, N.: Linear codes with complementary duals meet the Gilbert-Varshamov bound. Discrete mathematics. 285(1), 345-347 (2004)

[12] Tzeng, K., Hartmann, C.: On the minimum distance of certain reversible cyclic codes (Corresp.). IEEE Transactions on Information Theory. 16(5), 644-646 (1970)

[13] Kandasamy, W.V., Smarandache, F., Sujatha, R., Duray, R.R.: Erasure Techniques in MRD codes. Infinite Study (2012)

[14] Huffman, W.C., Pless, V.: Fundamentals of error-correcting codes. Cambridge university press (2010)

[15] Yang, X., Massey, J.L.: The condition for a cyclic code to have a complementary dual. Discrete Mathematics. 126(1-3), 391-393 (1994)